<u>REMARKS</u>

[0003] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the

claims of the application. Claims 1, 3-15, 17-29, 31-43, and 45-50 are presently pending.

Claims amended herein are 1, 15, 29, and 43. Claims withdrawn or cancelled herein are

2, 16, 30, and 44. New claims added herein are none.

Statement of Substance of Interview

[0004] The Examiners graciously met with me—the undersigned representative for

the Applicant—on Aug. 22, 2007. Applicant greatly appreciates the Examiners'

willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to each of us in our common goal of

an expedited prosecution of this patent application.

[0005] During the interview, I discussed how the claims differed from the cited art,

namely Bowman and Adar. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections and in the

interest of expediting prosecution, I also proposed several possible clarifying amendments.

[0006] The Examiners were receptive to the proposals, and I understood the

Examiner to indicate that proposed clarifying claim amendments directed to clarifying

multi-sense query would appear likely to distinguish over the cited art of record. For

example, the Examiner indicated that clarification regarding multi-sense query would

appear to distinguish claim 1 over the cited art, which relies on proximity to show term

relationship. However, the Examiner indicated that she would need to review the cited art

24

and do another search upon receiving this formal response.

Serial No.: 10/825,894

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1890US Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas Response to Non-Final Office Action lee@hayes

The Business of IP TM

www.leehaves.com 509.324.9256

[0007] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during the

interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable over the

cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0008] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the Examiner.

I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative for the Applicant—

so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any outstanding issues quickly and

efficiently over the phone.

[0009] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a

telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works great for

us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information may be found on

the last page of this response.

Serial No.: 10/825,894 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1890US

Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION The Business of IP www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

Claim Amendments

[0010] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the interest of

expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 herein. Applicant

amends claims to clarify claimed features in accordance with our discussion with the

Examiners. Such amendments are made to expedite prosecution and quickly identify

allowable subject matter. Such amendments are merely intended to clarify the claimed

features, and should not be construed as further limiting the claimed invention in

response to cited prior art.

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 103

[0011] Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In light of the

amendments presented herein and the discussion during the above-discussed Examiner

interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks

the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Dependent Claims

[0012] In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for the

same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the Examiner

withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Serial No.: 10/825,894

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1890US

Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas Response to Non-Final Office Action

26

e&hayeS The Business of IP™

www.leehaves.com 509,324,9256

Conclusion

[0013] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the **Examiner is urged to contact me before** issuing a subsequent Action. Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

27

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 09 18 7007

Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas

Reg. No. 58213 (509) 324-9256 x259 bea@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Carly Bokarica (509) 324-9256 x264 carly@leehayes.com

Serial No.: 10/825,894

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1890US

Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas Response to Non-Final Office Action

